

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/339,352

Applicant(s)
Reed-Gitomer et al.

Examiner
Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) Karen Carlson

(2) Christopher Low

(4) Mark Wilson

Date of Interview Mar 11, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Application in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Informed Mr. Wilson that it appears that Exhibit 1 was not submitted in the copies of the supplemental appeal brief filed January 15, 2002. Also informed Mr. Wilson that the originally filed brief has a tab for Exhibit 1 but it is unclear from the information within that Tab what is being referred to as Exhibit 1. Mr. Wilson agreed to pull the file and respond. Also informed Mr. Wilson that the application will be reviewed to see if a patentable claim could be drafted which would entail combining for example claims 1, 2, 11 and 12. Mr. Wilson stated that he would be willing to consider a proposed claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER


Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/339,352

Applicant(s)
Reed-Gitomer et al.

Examiner
Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) Mark Wilson

(2) Karen Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 11, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Application in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Wilson informed me that Exhibit 1 represents the e-mail to Berenice Gitomer with the Gen Bank Submission. Asked Mr. Wilson if the evidence presented in the Declaration is of record in the application and Mr. Wilson indicated that the information presented in the Declaration is newly discovered. Also informed Mr. Wilson that claims 1 and 12 listed in the appendix as claims on appeal do not reflect the amendments made to the claims in Amendment B of record in the application. In addition, informed Mr. Wilson that the objections raised in the defective brief notice have been resolved.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.